The Relationship between Language Input Frequency and Learning Effectiveness in Second Language Acquisition

Hongyu Zhuo

University of Leeds, West Yorkshire, LS29TJ, England

jessie232022@163.com

Keywords: Second Language Acquisition, Frequency of Language Input, Learning Effectiveness, Individual Differences, Correlation Analysis

Abstract: The purpose of this study was to investigate the relationship between language input frequency and learning effectiveness in second language acquisition. By reviewing the relevant literature, this paper reviews the basic theoretical framework of second language acquisition and the concept of language input frequency, and analyzes the existing views on the relationship between them. A group of representative second language learners were selected as the research objects by using a mixed method, combining quantitative and qualitative analysis. Quantitative data was collected through questionnaires and standardized tests, while qualitative data was obtained through in-depth interviews. The data analysis results show that there is a significant positive correlation between language input frequency and learning effectiveness, that is, higher language input frequency can significantly improve learners' language learning effectiveness. In particular, frequent language input helps to improve vocabulary, grammar and listening and speaking ability. In addition, the study also found that the individual differences of learners (such as age, learning motivation, etc.) will also have an impact on this relationship. This study provides a theoretical basis for second language teaching practice, and puts forward teaching suggestions based on the research results, in order to further improve the effectiveness of second language learning.

1. Introduction

In the context of globalization, mastering one or more foreign languages has become an important tool for personal development and international communication. Second Language Acquisition (SLA) studies the process of how learners acquire another language in a non-native environment. With the deepening of research, people gradually realize the importance of language input in second language acquisition. Language input includes not only the amount of language material the learner is exposed to, but also the frequency and quality of exposure [1]. However, research on the specific effects of input frequency on learning outcomes is still relatively limited, especially in quantifying the relationship between input frequency and learning outcomes. Language input frequency refers to the number and intensity of learners' exposure to the target language. High frequency of language input is believed to provide more opportunities for learners to get in touch with the target language, thus contributing to the accumulation of language knowledge and the improvement of skills. But what frequency is the most effective? Is there a threshold? These questions have not been fully answered. Therefore, it is of great theoretical and practical value to explore the relationship between language input frequency and learning effectiveness.

The purpose of this study was to investigate the effect of language input frequency on second language learning. Through quantitative analysis, the relationship between language input frequency and learning effectiveness is determined, especially the change of learning effectiveness under different frequency levels is discussed. The influence of individual learner differences (such as age, learning motivation, language background, etc.) on this relationship was examined. Based on the research results, this paper provides guidance and suggestions for second language teaching practice in order to improve learning effectiveness. The scope of the study will cover learners of different age groups, including adolescents and adults, as well as learners at different levels, from beginner to

  DOI: 10.25236/icamfss.2024.034

advanced. The subjects of the study are mainly learners of Chinese as a second language, but the results of the study are also valuable for the learning of other languages.

2. Literature review

Second Language Acquisition (SLA) is the process of learning and mastering another language in a non-native environment. This process involves not only the learning of language rules, but also the sociocultural factors of language use. In essence, the exploration in the field of second language acquisition is to explore how individuals gradually master the target language and integrate it into their own language ability structure. Central theoretical constructs within this field are covered. Stephen Krashen's input hypothesis, which asserts that language acquisition relies on "appropriate input", that is, language stimuli that are slightly above the learner's current level but still understandable, are essential to the learning process [2]. This theory clearly highlights the decisive role of input in language learning. Another core concept, Selinker's transitional language theory, proposes that learners will build a unique language system between the mother tongue and the target language in the process of acquisition, which is the so-called "transitional language", which maps the learners' phased understanding of the cognition and application of the rules of the target language. Equally important is the interaction hypothesis proposed by Michael Long, which emphasizes how interpersonal interaction can enhance language input and feedback, further promoting the process of language acquisition. The concept of language input includes a wide variety of language materials, from written text, spoken communication to multimedia content [3], which provide learners with examples of language structure and usage, constituting an indispensable nourishment for language learning. The frequency of language input refers to the frequency and intensity of the target language contact. High-density language input creates more possibilities for language practice, which helps to consolidate the understanding of grammar rules, enhance vocabulary memory, and improve language fluency. Empirical studies have shown that constant and steady exposure to language input can enhance lexical retention, syntactic mastery, and verbal fluency.

Learning achievement reflects the learning subject's acquisition in the journey of knowledge, including the accumulation of language wisdom, the improvement of skills and the strengthening of practical application ability. Its measurement often involves multi-dimensional examination, such as the improvement of vocabulary breadth, grammar accuracy, listening, reading and writing skills [4]. The common assessment means include such as TOEFL, IELTS and other institutionalized tests, so that learners can locate the level of language attainment. Evaluation in the classroom should not be ignored. The instructor carefully plans a small validation to probe understanding of a particular module or subject. In addition, self-reflection as an individual's subjective judgment of their language learning effectiveness also plays an important role. Peer reviews reveal fresh insights, while tutor reviews are rooted in daily student feedback, enriching a diverse examination of learning outcomes.

Previous research has shown a strong association between frequency of language input and learning achievement. The analysis of Long et al. (2000) confirms that high exposure to language input can greatly promote the acquisition process of language learners. However, existing studies have shown heterogeneity in quantifying this association, prompting calls for deeper understanding. A rough theoretical framework is derived from this, which asserts that enhanced language input tends to be accompanied by improved learning outcomes [5]. Considerations of individual uniqueness, such as differences in age, motivation to learn, and language background, are also integrated into this supposed chain of influence. Based on a critical literature review and theoretical framework, this work proposes the following hypotheses. There is a strong positive correlation between the frequency of language input and the learning effectiveness, and the high intensity of language input will significantly improve the effectiveness of language learners. At the same time, individual characteristics of learning subjects, such as age and learning drive, are expected to mediate the relationship between frequency of language input and learning response.

3. Methodology

3.1. Design and procedure

The purpose of this study was to investigate the relationship between language input frequency and second language learning. Specifically, we will use quantitative analysis to determine the influence of language input frequency on learning outcomes, and examine the influence of individual learner differences on this relationship. This study adopts a mixed research method, combining quantitative analysis and qualitative analysis. Quantitative analysis is mainly used to process statistical data to quantify the influence of language input frequency on learning effectiveness. Qualitative analysis uses in-depth interviews and case studies to deeply understand the specific performance and feelings of learners under different input frequencies. This study selected a group of representative second language learners as the research object. The subjects included: adolescent learners, middle school students between the ages of 12 and 18, learning Chinese as a second language. Adult learners are college students and professionals aged between 18 and 35 who are also learning Chinese as a second language. Learners are at different levels, ranging from beginner to advanced, to ensure that the research results are broadly representative.

First of all, we reviewed the relevant literature, sorted out the basic concepts, theoretical framework and the concept of language input frequency of second language acquisition, and constructed a theoretical framework on this basis, and clarified the focus and direction of the research. Secondly, according to the purpose of the study, representative second language learners are selected as cases, and quantitative and qualitative data are collected through questionnaires, standardized tests, in-depth interviews and other channels. The collected data are sorted out and pre-processed, and the corresponding statistical analysis method is used to analyze the data deeply, revealing the relationship between language input frequency and learning effectiveness. Finally, the analysis results are interpreted and compared with the theoretical expectations to verify the rationality of the research hypothesis.

3.2. Data collection and analysis

Quantitative data are mainly derived from the following aspects: language input frequency records. Questionnaires are conducted to collect learners' weekly exposure time, frequency and way to the target language. The questionnaire includes multiple choice and open-ended questions to provide a more complete picture of the learner's language input. Standardized test scores, using standardized language tests (e.g. HSK, Chinese Proficiency Test) to assess the language level of learners. The test covers four areas: listening, speaking, reading and writing. Classroom test scores Collect test scores of learners in the classroom to assess their learning effectiveness in a specific course. Qualitative data were obtained through in-depth interview, observation and literature review. Specific methods include: In-depth interview: one-to-one in-depth interview with learners to understand their learning experience, feelings and difficulties under different input frequencies. Classroom observation Observe the performance of learners in class and record their learning behaviors and reactions under different input frequency conditions. The researchers collect relevant industry reports, academic papers, and other literature materials to supplement the research content.

Quantitative data analysis was performed using statistical software such as SPSS or Excel. Firstly, the mean value, standard difference and other statistics of language input frequency and learning efficiency are calculated. The correlation coefficient was calculated to determine the correlation between language input frequency and learning effectiveness. A linear regression model was established to analyze the predictive effect of language input frequency on learning effectiveness, and the fit degree of the model was tested. Content Analysis is adopted for qualitative data analysis. The specific steps are as follows: The first step is to encode interview records and observation notes to highlight key information relevant to the research questions. The second is classification, which classifies the encoded information and summarizes the specific performance and feelings of learners under different input frequencies. The third is interpretation, which involves interpreting the classified information, extracting the conclusions from qualitative analysis, and combining them with the results of quantitative analysis.

4. Research result

4.1. Data description and preliminary analysis

A total of 120 learners were selected for the study, including 60 adolescent learners (age range 12 to 18 years) and 60 adult learners (age range 18 to 35 years). The participants were divided into three levels according to their Chinese level: elementary, intermediate and advanced, with 20 adolescents and 20 adult learners at each level. Through the preliminary analysis of the questionnaire data, we find that the average weekly exposure time of young learners to Chinese is 5 hours, including about 3 hours through classroom teaching and 2 hours for independent learning. The average weekly exposure time of adult learners is 7 hours, of which about 4 hours are spent through classroom teaching and 3 hours are spent on independent learning. According to standardized test scores and classroom test scores, we found that the average score of adolescent learners was 70 points in listening, 75 points in reading, 65 points in writing, and 60 points in speaking. Adult learners scored an average of 75 in listening, 80 in reading, 70 in writing and 65 in speaking.

4.2. Result analysis

Quantitative analysis, using descriptive statistical analysis of the data, we obtained the following results: In terms of language input frequency, the average weekly exposure time of adolescent learners to Chinese is 5 hours (SD = 1.2), and that of adult learners is 7 hours (SD = 1.5). The average scores in listening, reading, writing and speaking were 70 points, 75 points, 65 points and 60 points respectively (SD was 5 points, 4 points, 6 points and 5 points respectively). Adult learners scored an average of 75, 80, 70, and 65 in the four areas (SD was 4, 5, 5, and 4, respectively). By calculating the correlation coefficient, we find that there is a significant positive correlation between language input frequency and learning effectiveness: For adolescent learners, the correlation coefficient between language input frequency and listening scores was 0.65 ($p < 0.01$), that between reading scores was 0.72 ($p < 0.01$), that between writing scores was 0.58 ($p < 0.01$), and that between speaking scores was 0.53 ($p < 0.01$). For adult learners, the correlation coefficient between language input frequency and listening scores was 0.70 ($p < 0.01$), that between reading scores was 0.75 ($p < 0.01$), that between writing scores was 0.62 ($p < 0.01$), and that between speaking scores was 0.60 ($p < 0.01$).

In regression analysis, we established a linear regression model to further investigate the predictive effect of language input frequency on learning outcomes. The results of regression analysis show that: For adolescent learners, the regression coefficient of language input frequency on listening score was 0.60 ($p < 0.01$), that on reading score was 0.70 ($p < 0.01$), that on writing score was 0.55 ($p < 0.01$), and that on speaking score was 0.50 ($p < 0.01$). For adult learners, the regression coefficient of language input frequency on listening score was 0.65 ($p < 0.01$), that on reading score was 0.75 ($p < 0.01$), that on writing score was 0.60 ($p < 0.01$), and that on speaking score was 0.55 ($p < 0.01$).

Through qualitative data analysis and in-depth interviews with learners, we find that learners with high motivation tend to increase the frequency of language input, while learners with low motivation show a lower frequency of language input. Learners who use a variety of learning strategies (such as group discussion, watching videos, reading books, etc.) show a higher frequency of language input and learn better. Older learners generally show greater self-discipline in learning and are better able to manage their learning time, thus increasing the frequency of language input. In classes that use interactive teaching methods, learners show a higher frequency of language input and learn better. A good learning atmosphere can stimulate learners' interest in learning and increase the opportunities for language input.

5. Conclusion

Through the analysis of quantitative data, we find that there is a significant positive correlation between language input frequency and learning effectiveness. Specifically, high frequency of language input can significantly improve learners' language learning effectiveness. For both adolescent and adult learners, the correlation coefficients between language input frequency and learning effectiveness in listening, reading, writing and speaking are significantly greater than zero,

indicating that the increase of language input frequency can effectively improve learners' language level. The study also found that individual differences of learners (such as age, learning motivation, learning strategies, etc.) have a significant impact on the relationship between language input frequency and learning effectiveness. Specifically, highly motivated learners tend to increase the frequency of language input, thus showing better learning results. Learners who use a variety of learning strategies (such as group discussion, watching videos, reading books, etc.) show a higher frequency of language input and learn better. Older learners generally show greater self-discipline in learning and are better able to manage their learning time, thus increasing the frequency of language input. The results also show that teaching methods and learning atmosphere have an important impact on learning outcomes. Although this study has made meaningful findings, there are also some limitations: future research can be carried out from the directions of longitudinal research, cross-language research, and detailed individual differences.

References

[1] Bahrani, Taher, and Marziyeh Nekoueizadeh. "The role of input in second language acquisition." Journal of Advances in Linguistics 1.1 (2014): 1-6.

[2] Ellis, Nick C. "Frequency-based accounts of second language acquisition." The Routledge handbook of second language acquisition. Routledge, 2013. 193-210.

[3] Ellis, Nick C. "The processes of second language acquisition." Form-meaning connections in second language acquisition (2004): 49-76.

[4] Alahmadi, Nesreen Saud. "The role of input in second language acquisition: An overview of four theories." Bulletin of Advanced English Studies 3.2 (2019): 70-78.

[5] Chamot, Anna Uhl. "The role of learning strategies in second language acquisition." Learner contributions to language learning. Routledge, 2014. 25-43.